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## Stratification by age

- If follow-up is rather short, age at entry is OK for age-stratification.
- If follow-up is long, use stratification by categories of current age, both for no. of events, $D$, and risk time, $Y$.
- $-(D, Y)$ is the fundamental observation in follow-up studies.

Follow-up


Age-scale


## Representation of follow-up data

- In a cohort study the outcome consists of (Events, Risk time).
- Follow-up data for each individual must therefore have (at least) three variables:
- Date of entry - entry - date variable.
- Date of exit - exit - date variable
- Status at exit - fail - indicator-variable (0/1)
- Note: Different from clinical survival studies where time of entry is 0 , so we only need time of exit.
- Specific for each type of outcome.



## Probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}\left(d \text { at } t_{\mathrm{x}} \mid \text { entry } t_{0}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{P}\left(\text { surv } t_{0} \rightarrow t_{1} \mid \text { entry } t_{0}\right) \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{P}\left(\text { surv } t_{1} \rightarrow t_{2} \mid \text { entry } t_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{P}\left(d \text { at } t_{\mathrm{x}} \mid \text { entry } t_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

log-Likelihood

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \log (\lambda)-\lambda y \\
& \quad=0 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{1} \\
& \quad+0 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{2} \\
& \quad+d \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

assuming that the rate $\lambda$ is constant


## Probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}\left(\text { surv } t_{0} \rightarrow t_{\mathrm{x}} \mid \text { entry } t_{0}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{P}\left(\text { surv } t_{0} \rightarrow t_{1} \mid \text { entry } t_{0}\right) \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{P}\left(\text { surv } t_{1} \rightarrow t_{2} \mid \text { entry } t_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{P}\left(\text { surv } t_{2} \rightarrow t_{\mathrm{x}} \mid \text { entry } t_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

log-Likelihood
$0 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y$
$=0 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{1}$
$+0 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{2}$
$+0 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{3}$
assuming that the rate $\lambda$ is constant


## Probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}\left(\text { event at } t_{\mathrm{x}} \mid \text { entry } t_{0}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{P}\left(\text { surv } t_{0} \rightarrow t_{1} \mid \text { entry } t_{0}\right) \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{P}\left(\text { surv } t_{1} \rightarrow t_{2} \mid \text { entry } t_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{P}\left(\text { event at } t_{\mathrm{x}} \mid \text { entry } t_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

log-Likelihood
$1 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y$
$=0 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{1}$
$+0 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{2}$
$+1 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{3}$
assuming that the rate $\lambda$ is constant

## Aim of dividing time into bands:

- Compute rates in different bands of:
- age
- calendar time
- disease duration
- ...
- Allow rates to vary along the timescale:

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
0 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{1} \\
+0 \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{2} \\
+d \log (\lambda)-\lambda y_{3}
\end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{gathered}
0 \log \left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\lambda_{1} y_{1} \\
+0 \log \left(\lambda_{2}\right)-\lambda_{2} y_{2} \\
+d \log \left(\lambda_{3}\right)-\lambda_{3} y_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Prerequisites of splitting time

Origin: The date where the time scale is 0 :

- Age - 0 at date of birth
- Disease duration - 0 at date of diagnosis
- Occupation exposure - 0 at date of hire
- Time scale is always time since some origin.

Intervals: How should the scale be subdivided:

- 1-year classes? 5-year classes?
- Equal length - not necessarily.


## Cohort with 3 persons:

| Id | Bdate | Entry | Exit | St |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $14 / 07 / 52$ | $04 / 08 / 65$ | $27 / 06 / 97$ | 1 |
| 2 | $01 / 04 / 54$ | $08 / 09 / 72$ | $23 / 05 / 95$ | 0 |
| 3 | $10 / 06 / 87$ | $23 / 12 / 91$ | $24 / 07 / 98$ | 1 |

- Define strata: 10-years intervals of current age.
- Split $Y$ for every subject accordingly
- Treat each segment as a separate unit of observation.
- Keep track of exit status, $D$, in each interval.


## Splitting the follow up

|  | subj. 1 | subj. 2 | subj. 3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age at Entry: | 13.06 | 18.44 | 4.54 |
| Age at eXit: | 44.95 | 41.14 | 11.12 |
| Status at exit: | Dead | Alive | Dead |
| $Y$ | 31.89 | 22.70 | 6.58 |
| $D$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Where did the pieces go?

| Age | subj. 1 |  | subj. 2 |  | subj. | 3 | $\sum$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Y | D | Y | D | Y | D | Y | $D$ |
| 0- | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 5.46 | 0 | 5.46 | 0 |
| 10- | 6.94 | 0 | 1.56 | 0 | 1.12 | 1 | 8.62 | 1 |
| $20-$ | 10.00 | 0 | 10.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 20.00 | 0 |
| 30- | 10.00 | 0 | 10.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 20.00 | 0 |
| 40- | 4.95 | 1 | 1.14 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 6.09 | 1 |
| $\sum$ | 31.89 | 1 | 22.70 | 0 | 6.58 | 1 | 60.17 | 2 |

## Time-splitting with SAS: \%Lexis

\%Lexis ( data=a, entry=Entry, exit=Exit, fail=St,
origin=bdate, scale=365.25, breaks=0 to 80 by 10 ) ;
id

Bdate

## Time-splitting with Stata stset, stsplit

```
stset Exit, failure(St==1) entry(Entry) origin(Bdate) /*
    */ scale(365.25) id(Id)
stsplit cAge, at(40(10)70) after(Bdate)
gen py = _t - _t0
table cAge, c(sum _d sum py) format(%9.2f)
```


## Time-splitting with R Lexis, splitLexis

```
library( Epi )
Lx <- Lexis(entry = list(per = Entry,
    age = Entry - Bdate),
    exit = list(per = Exit),
exit.status = factor(St, labels = c("Alive", "Dead")),
    data = coh)
Ls <- splitLexis(Lx, breaks = seq(0, 100, 10), time.scale = "age")
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrrrr} 
lex.id & per & age & lex. dur & lex. Cst & lex. Xst & Id & Bdate & Entry & Exit & St \\
1 & 1965.589 & 13.056 & 6.943 & Alive & Alive & 1 & 1952.533 & 1965.589 & 1997.485 & 1 \\
1 & 1972.533 & 20.000 & 10.000 & Alive & Alive & 1 & 1952.533 & 1965.589 & 1997.485 & 1 \\
1 & 1982.533 & 30.000 & 10.000 & Alive & Alive & 1 & 1952.533 & 1965.589 & 1997.485 & 1 \\
1 & 1992.533 & 40.000 & 4.952 & Alive & Dead & 1 & 1952.533 & 1965.589 & 1997.485 & 1 \\
2 & 1972.686 & 18.439 & 1.560 & Alive & Alive & 2 & 1954.246 & 1972.686 & 1995.388 & 0 \\
2 & 1974.246 & 20.000 & 10.000 & Alive & Alive & 2 & 1954.246 & 1972.686 & 1995.388 & 0 \\
2 & 1984.246 & 30.000 & 10.000 & Alive & Alive & 2 & 1954.246 & 1972.686 & 1995.388 & 0 \\
2 & 1994.246 & 40.000 & 1.141 & Alive & Alive & 2 & 1954.246 & 1972.686 & 1995.388 & 0 \\
3 & 1991.974 & 4.536 & 5.463 & Alive & Alive & 3 & 1987.437 & 1991.974 & 1998.559 & 1 \\
3 & 1997.437 & 10.000 & 1.121 & Alive & Dead & 3 & 1987.437 & 1991.974 & 1998.559 & 1
\end{tabular}
```


## Time-splitting with R Lexis, splitLexis

plot(Ls, col = "blue", lwd = 3)


## Time-splitting with R Lexis, splitLexis

```
Ls <- splitLexis(Ls, breaks=seq(1900, 2000, 5), time.scale = "per")
plot(Ls, col = "blue", lwd = 3)
```



## Time-splitting with R Lexis: splitMulti

library (popEpi)
Ls <- splitMulti( Ls, age $=$ seq(0, 100, 10), per $=\operatorname{seq}(1900,2000,5)$, plot(Ls, col="blue", lwd=3)


## What happens when splitting time?

- From: one record per person
- To: many records per person,
- — each representing a short piece of follow-up time.
- Same total no. events
- Same total follow-up time (PYs)
- Likelihood contribution from one person is a product of terms, one from each interval
- Likelihood contributions from different persons are independent
- $\Rightarrow D$ variates can be treated as independent Poisson variates with mean $\lambda Y$


## What happens when splitting time?

- From: one record per person
- To: many records per person,
- $\Rightarrow$ allows different rates in different intervals.
- start point of an interval represented on all time scales:
- what is the age here
- what date is it here
- what is the disease duration here
- these are quantitative variables
- $\Rightarrow$ allows modeling of rates as continuous function of the timescales as represented in each interval


## Your turn now: IHD data (SAS)

The following exercise is designed to illustrate how follow-up time is subdivided in order to produce the table of events and person-years. Furthermore the aim is to show you that tabulated data and time-split data gives the same results if only age and exposure are used as variables.

We will first analyze frequency records as above (these are almost identical to Table 22.6 in C \& H). Next, we shall read the individual records and construct the corresponding table of cases and person-years.

1. Import the program ihd-lexis-sol.sas (from the folder http://bendixcarstensen.com/EpiPhD/F2022) to the program editor.
Run the first part of the program - the part reading the tabulated data and proc genmod. Compare with the results from the results table in Clayton \& Hills.
2. Next, use the second part of the program to read the individual records from the file diet.txt, including the proc print and check on the output that it looks reasonable and that you understand what each line in the data represents.

## Your turn now: IHD data (R)

The following exercise is designed to illustrate how follow-up time is subdivided in order to produce the table of events and person-years. Furthermore the aim is to show you that tabulated data and time-split data gives the same results if only age and exposure are used as variables.

We will first analyze frequency records as above (these are almost identical to Table 22.6 in C \& H). Next, we shall read the individual records and construct the corresponding table of cases and person-years.

1. Import the program ihd-lexis-sol.R (from the folder http://bendixcarstensen.com/EpiPhD/F2022) to the script window of R (or Rstudio)
Run the first part of the program - the part reading the tabulated data and using g1m. Compare with the results from the results table in Clayton \& Hills.
2. Next, use the second part of the program to read the individual records from the file diet.txt, and check on the output that it looks reasonable and that you understand what each line in the data represents.

## Time-splitting with SAS I

3. Now you should import the macro \%Lexis and use it to split into the age intervals $40-50,50-60$ and $60-70$ years:
In order to use this you must first load it from the appropriate folder folder on the net:

* This will list the included code in your log-window ; options source2 ;
filename lexispr url "http://BendixCarstensen.com/Lexis/Lexis.sas"; \%inc lexispr ;

Once you have specified \%inc lexispr ; and run that line in SAS, SAS will know the macro $\%$ lexis and you can use it in the rest of the session.

## Time-splitting with SAS II

4. The time-splitting is now done by running the SAS-macro \%Lexis

A SAS-macro is a piece of SAS-program (normally quite long) where certain small parts of the program can be changed when the program is run. The SAS-convention is that names of such programs start with a "\%".
To use the \%Lexis macro we must specify the follow-up information from the input file:

- Date of entry into the study - doe
- Date of exit from the study - dox
- Status at exit from the study - chd ( 1 if CHD occurred at dox, 0 otherwise ).

Moreover, we must decide which timescale to split the data on. In this case we want to split along the scale "current age", i.e. time since date of birth.

## Time-splitting with SAS III

5. To this end we must specify:

- The origin of the time-scale, i.e. where the time-scale is 0 , in this case date of birth - dob.
- The intervals where we want the follow-up grouped, here ages $40-50,50-60$ and 60-70.
- As a purely technical thing we need to specify the conversion between the scale in which time is measured in the input dataset (in this case days) and in the specification of the grouping (in this case years) - 365.25 .
In the case of \%Lexis we must supply these 6 parameters in order to specify how to split time.
Finally we must tell the program where the original data is, where the time-split data has to go, and what the name of the age-variable should be.


## Time-splitting with SAS IV

This looks like this (you do not have to write the stuff between the $/ * \ldots * /$ ):

```
%Lexis( data = ihdindiv, /* Dataset with original data */
    out = ihdsplit, /* Dataset where time-split data go */
    entry = doe, /* Date of entry */
    exit = dox, /* Date of exit */
    fail = chd, /* Event (failure) indicator */
    origin = dob, /* Origin of the time-scale */
    breaks = 40 to 70 by 10, /* Where to split the time scale */
    scale = 365.25, /* Conversion from days to years: */
    /* from: scale of entry/exit */
    /* to: scale of breaks */
    left = agr ); /* The name of the new age-variable */
```

Run this piece of SAS code.
(In the top of the file http://BendixCarstensen.com/Lexis/Lexis.sas are some more detailed explanations of how to use \%Lexis).

## Tabulation of time-split data with SAS I

6. How many records are in the resulting dataset (indsplit)
7. Take at look at the resulting data file, for example the first 20 records:
```
proc print data = ihdsplit (obs=20) ;
run ;
```

How does this compare with the the original dataset?
8. Use \%PYtab to tabulate IHD-cases and person-years by exposure and age-group. You must first get this from the net as you did with the \%Lexis macro:
filename pytabpr url "http://BendixCarstensen.com/Lexis/PYtab.sas"; \%inc pytabpr ;

## Tabulation of time-split data with SAS II

Once you have imported the macro you can use it:

```
%PYtab( data = ihdsplit,
    class = exposure agr,
    fail = chd,
    risk = risk,
    scale = 1000 ) ;
```

Compare with the sums from the table given in the first data step in ind-lexis.sas

## Cox-model?

Data for Cox-regression normally has only one record per person: (event, time) - assuming 0 is the entry point.

$$
\lambda(t, x)=\lambda_{0}(t) \exp (\beta x)
$$

- Assumes (the baseline) rate, $\lambda_{0}$ to vary arbitrarily over time
-     - internally in the program, the data is actually split
- Time-dependent covariates require multiple records per person
- Additional time-scales require multiple records per person
- Main time scale and other time scales modeled in different ways


## What happens when splitting time?

We are actually mimicking a continuous surveillance of the study population - the smaller the intervals, the closer we get. For each little piece of follow-up we attach the relevant covariates:

- Fixed covariates. (sex, genotype, ...)
- Deterministically time-varying covariates:
age, time since entry, calendar time
- Non-deterministically varying covariates: (current smoking habits, occupational exposure,...)

In the ihd example it was OK with 10 year bands.

## Analysis of results from \%Lexis

- $D$ - events in the variable fail.
- $Y$ - risk time $=$ difference: exit - entry. Enters in the model via $\log (Y)$ as offset.
- Covariates are:
- timescales (age, calendar time, time since entry)
- other variables for this person (constant or assumed constant in each interval).
- Model rates using the covariates in proc genmod
- Note: there is no difference in how time-scales and other covariates are treated in the model - they are all covariates.


## Analysis of results from splitLexis

- D - events: $\mathrm{D}=$ lex.Xst $==$ "Dead" \& lex.Cst != lex.Xst
- $\quad$ - risk time in the variable lex.dur:

```
glm(lex.Xst == "Dead" ~ <model>, offset=log(lex.dur),
        family=poisson, data=Ls)
        glm(cbind(lex.Xst == "Dead", lex.dur) ~ <model>,
        family = poisreg, data = Ls)
        glm.Lexis(Ls, ~ <model>, from = "Alive", to = "Dead")
```

- Covariates are:
- timescales (age, calendar time, time since entry)
- other variables for this person
(constant or assumed constant in each interval).
- Note: there is no difference in how time-scales and other covariates are treated in the model —they are all covariates: determinants of rates.


## From split to aggregate data

- Each interval contribute $d \log (\lambda)-\lambda y$ to the log-likelihood.
- Intervals with the same set of covariate values (age,exposure,...) have the same $\lambda$.
- The total log-likelihood contribution from these is

$$
\sum d \log (\lambda)-\lambda \sum y=D \log (\lambda)-\lambda Y
$$

- the same as from aggregated data.
- The log-likelihood is the same for split data and aggregated data - no need to tabulate (data aggregation())
- (...except possibly for computing time)


## Your turn again: (SAS)

9. Use proc genmod to estimate the effect of age and exposure from the split dataset. How does the estimates compare with those based on the initially tabulated dataset?
10. Add an interaction between age and exposure and check that you get the same test for interaction as with the grouped data.
11. Compare the type 3 likelihood ratio statistic (Chi-square) for the interaction with the deviance of the model without interaction for the grouped data.

## Your turn again: (R)

9. Use glm with poisreg to estimate the effect of age and exposure from the split dataset. How does the estimates compare with those based on the initially tabulated dataset?
10. Add an interaction between age and exposure and check that you get the same test for interaction as with the grouped data.
11. Compare the likelihood ratio statistic from anova for the interaction with the (residual) deviance of the model without interaction for the grouped data.
